Enhancements to Aliasing

Danny Berlin, Esq.

IBM T.J.Watson Research Center dberlin@dberlin.org

Kenneth Zadeck

NaturalBridge, Inc. zadeck@naturalbridge.com



Roadmap

Analysis Enhancements

- Call side effect analysis.
- Read-only and nonaddressable variable detection.
- Pure and const function detection.
- Type based alias analysis.

Transformation Enhancements

- Promotion of static variables.
- Refinements to call clobbering.



Interprocedural Analysis

- What is new for GCC is that these analysis passes are applied to an entire compilation unit.
- In the past, the only real way to do compilation unit wide analysis was in the front end.
 - The front ends lied about what was the whole program.
- Changing this required a lot of work.
 - Jan HubickaDale JohannesenSteven BosscherStuart Hastings

The world is now safe for doing interprocedural analysis.



Call Side Effect Analysis

- Determine which static variables *may* be read or written as a side effect of a call.
- Requires:
 - Local summary of static variables read and written by each function.
 - Complete and correct call graph.



Call Side Effect Analysis (Technique)

- 1. Compute local read and write information for the variables whose scope is contained in the compilation unit.
- 2. Collapse cycles in call graph.
 - All nodes within a cycle share same information.
- 3. Assume worst case for functions outside of compilation unit.
 - All statics are read and written.
 - Calls may call back into compilation unit.
- 4. Propagate reads and writes along call graph edges.



Call Side Effect Analysis (Problems)

- Must assume that any function that is not seen can call back into the module being compiled and thus, get access to the static variables.
- For a *Standard* C library you can do better (except qsort and bsearch).
- GLIBC is not standards conformant.
- Extensions added to printf mean that any function with some debugging code in it causes worst case assumptions to be used.



Read-only and Non-addressable Variable Detection.

- Small amount of additional code in the Call Side Effect Analysis pass.
- This is also done in the front ends.
- We still find more than the front ends do.
 - Are these front end bugs?
 - Do we want to fix each of the front ends?
 - Does it make sense to have the front ends gather this information?
- This pass is run at -O2 and above for C and at -O1 and above for other languages.



Pure and Const Function Detection

- Replaces the phase done at the RTL level.
- Many positive differences in results:
 - Does not get confused by profiling code.
 - Handles recursive functions correctly.
 - Does not get confused by low level RTL constructs.
- One (current) regression:
 - Misses some cases because constant propagation and dead code are not run before the detection step.



Type Based Alias Analysis

Simple Idea – If the *address is never taken* for any instance of type T, then no instance of type T can alias anything else.

- Complex Problem What does address is never taken really mean?
- The answer depends on the language, and the way that one interprets the languages specification.
- This analysis is one of the sanity tests for the structure reorganization.



structs.h:
struct A {int aa;};
struct B {float bb};

Module A: #include "structs.h" struct A a1 = get_a(); struct B b1 = get_b();

Can a1 interfere with b1?



}

structs.h:
struct A {int aa;};
struct B {float bb};

Module A: #include "structs.h" struct A a1 = get_a(); struct B b1 = get_b();

Can a1 interfere with b1?

```
Module B:
#include "structs.h"
union X {
   struct A a;
   struct B b;
};
static union X x = xalloc \dots
struct A get_a() {
   return x->a;
}
struct B get_b() {
   return x->b;
```





struct C {
 int d;
} c1;
struct B {
 struct C c;
} b1;
struct A {
 struct B b;
} a1;

What can be done with x in x = &a1.b.c?

- Any fields in c may be accessed.
- Offsets can be added to x to access any field in a or b.
- However, if no bad operations are done to x, none of the types A, B or C need escape.



- Taking the address of something does not cause the type to escape.
 - Using the pointer to access sub-fields is fine.
- Using the pointer in a bad way causes the type, and many connected types, to escape.
 - Doing math with the pointer.
 - Upcasting with the pointer.
 - Passing it to an external function.



Type Based Analysis Algorithm, Part I – Scan the Code

- Record the type of all structure *address of* operations.
- Build a table of all of the types seen in the compilation unit.
- Mark the types as escaping if:
 - a pointer to that type appears as a parameter or return type of a public function.
 - the type is a public variable.
 - the type appears in a *bad cast* or pointer arithmetic operation.



Type Based Analysis Algorithm, Part II – Transitive Closure

- Flow insensitive analysis.
- The closure is performed over the type system.
- If a type X escapes:
 - x's subtypes escape.
 - x's supertypes escape.
 - the types of X's contained fields escape.
 - the types w containing x if there was a pointer operation of the form &...w.x...



Type Based Analysis Algorithm

- In practice, there are three limiting factors:
 - The algorithm is flow insensitive.
 - The types that escape across module boundaries.
 - Poor representation of aliases at the tree level.
- Malloc an free are special cased to keep these from killing everything.
 - Abstracted version of these functions still cause problems.
- In whole program mode this is algorithm is very effective since taking the address of fields of a structure is rare.



Type Based Analysis Algorithm

- Originally motivated for structure reorganization.
- Not meant as a replacement for points to analysis.
 - Type analysis has severe limitations since it does not track instances.



Transformation Enhancements: Promotion or Static Variables, Part I

- Static scalar variables and structures are promoted if their types can be "scalarized" by the SRA pass.
- Arrays and constant variables are not promoted.
- The side effect analysis code provides information about which variables must go back into memory when crossing call sites.
- Promotion occurs before SSA form is built.



Transformation Enhancements: Promotion or Static Variables, Part II

- Loads are inserted:
 - at the top of the function.
 - after calls that may modify the variable.
- Stores are inserted (if the value is modified)
 - at returns.
 - before calls that may read or modify the variable.
- A special enhancement to dead code elimination removes these variables where they are not live.



Transformation Enhancements: Refinements to Call Clobbering.

- Reduce the number of variables listed as being call clobbered.
- Call site specific (must redo the caching).
- The side effect analysis code provides information about which static variables may be modified by a specific call.



Spec 2000 Integer Percentage Improvements







Spec 2000 Floating Point Percentage Improvements







Conclusions

- This is the first round of interprocedural analysis phases to be added to GCC.
- Most of these only provide modest improvement when compiling a single module.
- Occasionally some trigger big changes.
- Many times, the improvement is lost because the analysis overwhelms downstream transformations.

